Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/644,566	LEUPOLD, HERBERT A.
	ai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kevin M Bernatz	1773
All Participants:		Status of Application	ı:
(1) <u>Kevin M Bernatz</u> .		(3)	
(2) George Tereschuk.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 May 2005		Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: all			
Claims discussed: all			
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a cord of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
11: d. las			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/644,566

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that claims 27 and 28 were allowable, and that clarification of claims 44 and 56 to positively recite that the perpendicular magnetization was "perpendicular to the plane" of the laminae would appear to distinguish over the prior art of record. The Examiner indicated that claims 1 - 18, 29 - 43 and 49 - 52, nonelected without traverse, would also need to be cancelled prior to allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment of claims 44 and 56 as well as the cancellation of the nonelected claims..